

#62 P.2  
5/12/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
Re Patent Application of  
LYNDON J HURLEY  
Serial No.: 10/024,802  
Filed:  
For: DEFLECTION GAUGE DISLODGING SYSTEM

Examiner: Cohen, Amy R  
Group Art Unit: 2859  
Attorney: Ivar M. Kaardal  
Deposit Account No. 11-0020

Honorable Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Arlington, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

FAX RECEIVED

MAY 07 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

**REQUEST TO WITHDRAW FINALITY OF THE  
REJECTIONS IN OFFICE ACTION**

The rejections of the Office Action mailed April 7, 2003 for the subject patent application have been indicated as being "final" by the Examiner. However, it is submitted that finality of the present Office Action is premature for the reasons set forth below, and therefore withdrawal of the finality of the Office Action is respectfully requested.

With respect to final Office Actions, section 706 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure ("MPEP") states generally that (emphasis added):

Before final rejection is in order a clear issue should be developed between the examiner and applicant. To bring the prosecution to as speedy conclusion as possible and at the same time to deal justly by both the applicant and the public, the invention as disclosed and claimed should be thoroughly searched in the first action and the references fully applied; and in reply to this action the applicant should amend with a

view to avoiding all the grounds of rejection and objection. Switching from one subject matter to another in the claims presented by applicant in successive amendments, or from one set of references to another by the examiner in rejecting in successive actions claims of substantially the same subject matter, will alike tend to defeat attaining the goal of reaching a clearly defined issue for an early termination, i.e., either an allowance of the application or a final rejection.

And, in particular, section 706.07(a) of the MPEP states that (emphasis added):

Furthermore, a second or any subsequent action on the merits in any application or patent undergoing reexamination proceedings will not be made final if it includes a rejection, on newly cited art, other than information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p), of any claim not amended by applicant or patent owner in spite of the fact that other claims may have been amended to require newly cited art.

In the present application, the rejections of the claims that were set forth in the previous Office Action have been withdrawn in favor of new rejections based upon newly cited references that were not relied upon or even disclosed in the previous Office Action. However, claim 18 was not amended by the previous Amendment, and the pending Office Action contains a new rejection of claim 18 that relies upon newly cited prior art. It is therefore submitted that the present situation, under the foregoing criteria stated in the MPEP for making rejections final, does not allow the most recent Office Action to be made final.

The undersigned respectfully requests that the finality of the subject Office Action be withdrawn, and an indication of the withdrawal of the finality of the Office Action be issued, either through the issuance of a new non-final Office Action or a supplement to the pending Office Action stating that the finality of

the Office Action has been withdrawn, or in any other manner that the Examiner sees fit to employ, as long as the undersigned is notified in a prompt manner.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 5/7/03

Ivar M. Kaardal (Reg. No. 29,812)  
KAARDAL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.  
3500 South First Avenue Circle, Suite 250  
Sioux Falls, SD 57105-5807  
(605)336-9446 FAX (605)336-1931  
e-mail patent@kaardal.com

**FAX RECEIVED**

MAY 07 2003

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**



# Kaardal & Associates, PC

Attorneys At Law

3500 South First Avenue Circle - Suite 250  
Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57105-5802

PATENTS  
TRADEMARKS  
COPYRIGHTS

FAX RECEIVED

Date: May 7, 2003

MAY 07 2003

Fax Number: (703) 308-7722

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

To Examiner: Cohen, Amy R.

Group Art 2859

From: Ivar M. Kaardal Jeff Proehl Mark Ekse  
Brendan Dix Robert K. Huck ☒ Stephanie Flanagan  
Cheryl Edblom Other: \_\_\_\_\_

RE: Appln. No. 10/024,802 Filing Date: 12/19/01 Applicant: Hurley  
Formal Response to Final Office Action  
mailed 4/7/03 (Request to withdraw Finality)

Total Number of Pages (Including cover sheet): 4 (Please call us as soon as possible if you do not receive all pages).

## Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being  
facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark  
Office to FAX No. (703) 308-7722  
on the 7 of May, 2003.

Steph Flanagan  
Signature  
Steph Flanagan  
Printed Name

## PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this fax message is privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named below. If the reader of this fax message is not the intended recipient, or the employee agent responsible to deliver to the intended recipient, you are hereby on notice that you are in possession of the confidential and privileged information. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. You will immediately notify the sender by telephone of your inadvertent receipt. Return the original fax message to sender at the address herein given via the United States Postal Service.